Cobalamins

Nitroxylcob(III)alamin: Synthesis and X-ray Structural Characterization**

Luciana Hannibal, Clyde A. Smith, Donald W. Jacobsen, and Nicola E. Brasch*

Nitric oxide ('NO or EDRF, endothelial-derived relaxing factor) plays several important biological roles, including smooth-muscle relaxation, regulation of neurotransmission, and inhibition of platelet aggregation.^[1] The NO derivative of vitamin B₁₂, known as nitrosylcobalamin (NOCbl), has recently attracted a lot of attention in the literature. Mammalian vitamin B₁₂-dependent enzyme reactions, methylcobalamin-dependent methionine synthase, and adenosylcobalamin-dependent methylmalonyl-CoA mutase are inhibited by nitric oxide or nitric oxide donors^[2] in vitro and in vivo.^[3,4] Importantly, it is postulated that the inhibition of these enzymes is a consequence of NOCbl formation.^[5] Evidence supporting formation of an NO derivative of vitamin B_{12} includes observations that hydroxocobalamin suppresses NO-induced relaxation of smooth muscle in rodents,^[6] NO-induced vasodilation,^[7] and NO-mediated inhibition of cell proliferation.^[5] Vitamin B₁₂ can also reverse NO-induced neural tube defects.^[8] Furthermore, it has been proposed that NOCbl has applications in the treatment of cancer and in wound healing.^[9] NOCbl itself has been associated with considerable controversy in the literature, notwithstanding its existence,^[10] and, more recently, whether or not aquacobalamin reacts directly with NO to form NOCbl (it is now generally accepted that this is not the case).^[11,12] Indeed, NOCbl was referred to as "elusive" in a recent comprehensive review of vitamin B₁₂ structures,^[13] in which the absence of structural information on NOCbl was noted.

[*] L. Hannibal, Dr. N. E. Brasch Department of Chemistry and School of Biomedical Sciences Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242 (USA) Fax: (+1) 330-672-3816 E-mail: nbrasch@kent.edu L. Hannibal, Dr. D. W. Jacobsen Department of Cell Biology Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland Clinic Cleveland, OH 44195 (USA) Dr. D. W. Jacobsen Department of Molecular Medicine Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, OH 44106 (USA) Dr. C. A. Smith Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory Stanford University Menlo Park, CA 94025 (USA) [**] This research was funded by Kent State University (N.E.B. and L.H.) and the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health (HL71907) (D.W.J.).

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

This situation has led to speculation on the geometry, bond lengths, and bond angles of NOCbl.^[13,14] The oxidation state of the Co center of NOCbl is also of considerable interest.^[11,12,15–17]

Herein, we report the synthesis and X-ray structural characterization of NOCbl, which was prepared by reacting hydroxycobalamin hydrochloride with the NO donor 2-(N,Ndiethylamino)diazenolate-2-oxide (DEA-NONOate) under strictly anaerobic, alkaline conditions (pH 8.9). Isolation of the bulk material by precipitation with acetone gave a bright orange product in 85% yield that was approximately 97% pure (see the Supporting Information). The UV/Vis and ¹H NMR spectra of the product redissolved in anaerobic buffer (pH 7.4; Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information) are identical to reported spectra for NOCbl. To our knowledge, this is the first time that pure, well-characterized NOCbl has been isolated. Solid NOCbl is stable in air in the absence of moisture. A crystal of NOCbl (ca. $0.1 \times 0.1 \times$ 0.3 mm, obtained from aqueous acetone) was mounted under paraffin oil in a nylon loop in a glove box and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction experiments were carried out at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory.

NOCbl·15H₂O crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$ with one molecule per asymmetric unit.^[18] A thermal ellipsoid plot is given in Figure 1. The atom numbering is given in Scheme 1. The solvent structure in



Figure 1. Thermal ellipsoid plot (ellipsoids set at 30% probability) of NOCbl-15 H₂O; view of the entire cobalamin complex. The NO ligand is bound to the Co center through the N atom (Co–N 1.927(6) Å); O71A–O71C are the three positions of the disordered oxygen atom. For clarity, H atoms and water solvent molecules are not shown; Co green, N blue, O red, P pink, C white.



5140

C 2007 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim





(Table 1); hence, NOCbl is best described as nitroxylcob-(III)alamin in both the solid and solution states. $^{[12,16]}$

As expected, the NO ligand is bound to the cobalt center through a nitrogen atom, with a Co-NO bond length of 1.93 Å (Table 1). This bond length is similar to the $Co-NO_2$ bond length found in the three reported structures of NO₂Cbl (1.91-1.94 Å, Table 1) and is also consistent with Co-NO_n (n=1, 2) bond lengths observed in nitroxyl and nitro complexes of cobalt porphyrin complexes (Table 1). Evidence for the presence of a NO⁻ group as opposed to the oxidized form NO2⁻ comes from comparison of the N-O bond lengths of NOCbl with those of other structures. The N-O bond lengths for the three orientations of NOCbl range from 1.14 to 1.20 Å and are entirely consistent with a N-O double bond, as reported for the nitroxyl complexes of tetraphenylporphyrin (tpp),^[21,22] octylethylporphyrin (oep),^[23] and two tropocoronand ligands (tc-3,3 and tc-4,4; Table 1).^[24] Nitro ligands, on the other hand, typically show two distinct N-O bond lengths (N-O1 and N-O2, Table 1), and the N-O/N=O bond lengths for the three reported NO₂Cbl structures are in the range 1.22-1.32 Å. Further support for the presence of a nitroxyl ligand compared to NO₂⁻ comes from a comparison of the Co– N_{α} axial bond length (Co–NB3, Scheme 1) in NOCbl with those found in the three NO₂Cbl structures (2.13 versus 1.99–2.01 Å, respectively; Table 2). The nitroxyl anion is a moderate π acceptor in addition to being a strong σ -donor

Scheme 1. Numbering scheme for NOCbl. The three orientations of the oxygen atom of the β -axial NO ligand are shown as O71A, O71B, and O71C.

NOCbl·15H₂O has been modeled as 15 water molecules, all of which are involved in hydrogen-bonding interactions with either oxygen or nitrogen atoms on the cobalamin moiety. The cobalamin is in the "base-on" conformation, and the NO ligand is found in three different orientations, such that three positions for the oxygen atom are detected (O71A, O71B, and O71C). Rotational disorder is common for NO ligands.^[19] The Co-N-O angle provides important information on the oxidation state of the Co center, since the Co-N-O group of low-spin nitroxyl Co^{III} complexes is bent (ca. 120°) as a consequence of the lone pair on the N atom of nitroxyl (NO⁻),^[20] while Co-N-O is essentially linear for nitrosyl ('NO) complexes.^[20] The Co-N-O angle in NOCbl·15H2O ranges from 117.4-121.4°

 $\textit{Table 1: } N - O \text{ bond lengths and angles for NOCbl, } NO_2 Cbl, \text{ and selected cobalt porphyrin complexes.}$

| Complexes | Reference | Co NO _n (n=1, 2) | N01 ^[a] | N02 | Co-N-O1 Co-N-O2 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Co-NO complexes | | | | | |
| NOCH | this work | 1.927(6) | 1.18(1) | | 117.4 |
| | | | 1.20(2) | - | 121.3 |
| | | | 1.14(1) | | 121.4 |
| [Co(NO)(tpp)] | [21] | 1.978(4) | 1.01(2) | - | 135.2(8) |
| $[Co(NO)(tpp)(p-OCH_3)]$ | [22] | 1.854(5) | 1.195(8) | - | 119.6(4) |
| [Co(NO)(oep)] | [23] | 1.8444(9) | 1.164(1) | - | 122.70(8) |
| [Co(NO)(tc-3,3)] | [24] | 1.785(6) | 1.137(7) | - | 127.3(6) |
| [Co(NO)(tc-4,4)] | [24] | 1.779(6) | 1.151(9) | - | 128.9(6) |
| | | | 1.18(2) ^[b] | | 134.9(9) ^[b] |
| Co-NO ₂ complexes | | | | | |
| NO ₂ Cbl·LiCl | [25] | 1.942(6) | 1.217(9) | 1.220(9) | 121.7(6) |
| | | | | | 115.4(5) |
| | | | 1.324(15) ^[c] | 1.230(13) ^[c] | 121.3(8) ^[c] |
| | | | | | 125.6(9) ^[c] |
| NO2CPI·NaCl | [25] | 1.912(5) | 1.221(8) | 1.292(8) | 124.4(5) |
| | | | | | 120.1(5) |
| NO₂Cbl | [26] | 1.941(5) | 1.217 | 1.236 | 121.2 |
| | | | | | 117.1 |
| | | | | | 129.1 ^[c] |
| | | | | | 119.5 ^[c] |
| $[Co(NO_2)(TpivPP)(H_2O)]^{[d]}$ | [27] | 1.891(5) | 1.217(8) | | 121.7(9) |
| | | | 1.17(2) | | 118.7(5) |
| $[Co(NO_2)(TpivPP)(CH_3OH)]$ | [27] | 1.881(4) | 1.241(5) | 1.217(5) | 119.6(3) |
| | | | | | 119.5(3) |

[a] For NOCbl, three N–O1 bond lengths are reported, corresponding to the three orientations of oxygen atom for the ligand: N–O71A, N–O71B, and N–O71C. [b] Two orientations of the NO ligand are observed. [c] Two orientations of the NO₂ group are observed. [d] This structure is centrosymmetric; therefore the N–O1 and N–O2 distances are identical. This molecule shows two orientations for the NO₂ group. TpivPP=5,10,15,20-tetrakis(α,α,α -2-pivalamidophenyl)porphyrin.

Communications

 $\ensuremath{\textit{Table 2:}}$ Comparison of the Co coordination sphere in NOCbl and NO_2Cbl structures.

| | NOC _{pl[a]} | $NO_2Cbl{\cdot}2LiCl^{[b]}$ | $NO_2Cbl{\cdot}NaCl^{[b]}$ | NO ₂ Cbl ^[c] |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Co-NO ^[d] | 1.927(6) | 1.942(6) | 1.912(5) | 1.941(5) |
| (n = 1, 2) | | | | |
| Co-NB3 | 2.126(5) | 1.992(6) | 2.014(5) | 2.008(4) |
| Co-N21 | 1.858(4) | 1.873(5) | 1.868(5) | 1.888(4) |
| Co-N22 | 1.908(5) | 1.920(4) | 1.902(5) | 1.917(4) |
| Co-N23 | 1.915(4) | 1.919(5) | 1.900(5) | 1.922(4) |
| Co-N24 | 1.887(5) | 1.894(5) | 1.870(5) | 1.906(4) |

[a] This work. [b] Reference [25]. [c] Reference [26]. [d] Co–N70 for NOCbl.

ligand^[19] and therefore exhibits a stronger trans weakening influence than NO₂⁻, which results in a longer Co-NB3 bond. Indeed, the Co-NB3 bond length is similar to that reported for the strong donor ligands SO₃²⁻ (Co-NB3 2.13 Å) and CH₃ (Co-NB3 2.16 Å).^[13] Importantly, the UV/Vis spectrum of a sample of dried crystals redissolved in anaerobic buffer solution (pH 7.4) was identical to that of NOCbl. The final piece of evidence for NOCbl rather than NO₂Cbl is more qualitative and relates to the observed color of the crystals. As noted above, the crystals and the solution from which they grew were bright orange. The crystal chosen for X-ray diffraction studies remained orange throughout data collection. Subsequently, the vial containing the remaining crystals was opened to the air, and the mother liquor surrounding the crystals immediately turned deep red-the color expected for NO₂Cbl. The aerobic decomposition of NOCbl to NO₂Cbl has been previously reported.^[16,17] The crystals in the vial slowly changed from orange to deep red over the course of several days.

Numerous crystal structures of cobalamins have been described, with the majority of structures crystallizing in the $P2_12_12_1$ space group;^[13] NOCbl is no exception. NOCbl·15H₂O belongs to cluster type I,^[13] with c/a and b/aratios of 1.511 and 1.343, respectively. The cobalamin molecules are oriented such that the plane of the corrin ring is roughly parallel to the *ab* plane of the unit cell, with the axial base and the NO ligand extending out into solvent pockets. All potential hydrogen-bonding donors and acceptors are involved in at least one hydrogen bond, with the exception of O2P, the oxygen atom bridging the ribose and phosphate groups. There are significant intermolecular contacts in the crystal lattice, including six direct hydrogen bonds linking neighboring cobalamin molecules (O28-N45', N29-N52', N34-O5P', N34-O3P', N45-N52', and O51-N63'), with the other interactions involving water-mediated hydrogen bonds. Although the relatively high final R factor of 9.64% is typical of cobalamin structures, it might be explained by the presence of disorder in the solvent structure and the relative lack of direct hydrogen-bonding contacts versus watermediated interactions.

The cobalt absorption spectra of cobalamin crystals can provide information on the degree of irradiation damage incurred on the crystal during X-ray diffraction data collection. The cobalt absorption spectrum for the NOCbl crystal used for X-ray diffraction data collection (irradiated NOCbl) and the spectrum for a fresh crystal (unirradiated NOCbl) between 7650 and 7850 eV are shown in Figure 2. The overall shape of the spectra are similar to the spectra reported for other cobalamins.^[28] The intensity and position of the



Figure 2. XAS spectrum of the NOCbl-15 H_2O crystal before and after irradiation during data collection for X-ray structure analysis. The inset shows the first derivatives f' of the edge spectra.

absorption peak as calculated from the second derivative (not shown) is unchanged (7734.1 eV, f'' = 5.46 for the unirradiated sample and 7733.7 eV, f'' = 5.38 for the irradiated sample), and the overall shape of the XANES and lowenergy EXAFS regions are very similar (XANES = X-ray absorption near-edge structure, EXAFS = extended X-ray absorption fine structure). Therefore, there appear to be only minor differences following prolonged irradiation, and hence practically no evidence of radiation damage. This result is in contrast to the recently reported study on N-acetylcysteinylcobalamin (sodium salt, NACCbl), for which the spectrum of irradiated NACCbl showed a significant drop in peak intensity relative to unirradiated NACCbl, in addition to differences in some of the XANES and near-EXAFS features.^[29] It is not clear why susceptibility to radiation damage should differ between cobalamin complexes. The inflection point, or threshold energy of the absorption edge, was calculated from the first derivative of the edge spectrum (7720.8 and 7720.6 eV for the unirradiated and irradiated NOCbl samples, respectively; see inset, Figure 2). Upon comparing these values to values obtained for other cobalamin complexes, it was concluded that the threshold energy is not a reliable indicator of the cobalt oxidation state (see the Supporting Information). A similar conclusion was recently reached for N-acetylcysteinylcobalamin.^[29]

To summarize, an efficient procedure to synthesize NOCbl is reported, and the X-ray structure of NOCbl has been determined. NOCbl is base-on, and the bent Co-N-O group suggests that NOCbl is best described as nitroxylcob-(III)alamin in the solid state. The Co–NB3 bond length is typical of that seen when there are strong β -axial ligands *trans* to the Co–DMB moiety (DMB = 5,6-dimethylbenzimida-zole), and, as expected, is longer (by ca. 0.13 Å) than that observed for NO₂Cbl, since NO₂⁻ is a weaker donor ligand. XAS measurements show that minimal damage to the NOCbl



crystal occurs during X-ray data collection. Mechanistic studies are currently underway to elucidate the mechanism of formation of NOCbl from the reaction between hydroxycobalamin hydrochloride and DEA-NONOate. Our structural characterization of NOCbl, combined with the array of spectroscopic data obtained by others for NOCbl in solution, means that NOCbl can no longer be regarded as mysterious; the extreme air sensitivity of NOCbl in solution is undoubtedly responsible for some of the earlier confusion regarding the existence and the properties of this compound.

Received: March 14, 2007 Published online: June 1, 2007

Keywords: cobalamins \cdot N ligands \cdot nitrogen oxides \cdot structure elucidation \cdot vitamin B₁₂

- [1] K. Bian, F. Murad, Front. Biosci. 2003, 8, d264-d278.
- [2] The terms "nitric oxide" and "nitric oxide donors" can refer to other nitrogen monoxide species (NO⁺ and NO⁻) in addition to 'NO.
- [3] A. Nicolaou, S. H. Kenyon, J. M. Gibbons, T. Ast, W. A. Gibbons, Eur. J. Clin. Invest. 1996, 26, 167–170.
- [4] A. Kambo, V. S. Sharma, D. E. Casteel, V. L. Woods, Jr., R. B. Pilz, G. R. Boss, J. Biol. Chem. 2005, 280, 10073–10082.
- [5] M. Brouwer, W. Chamulitrat, G. Ferruzzi, D. L. Sauls, J. B. Weinberg, *Blood* **1996**, *88*, 1857–1864.
- [6] R. Schubert, U. Krien, I. Wulfsen, D. Schiemann, G. Lehmann, N. Ulfig, R. W. Veh, J. R. Schwarz, H. Gago, *Hypertension* 2004, 43, 891–896.
- [7] F. Jiang, C. G. Li, M. J. Rand, Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1997, 340, 181– 186.
- [8] M. Weil, R. Abeles, A. Nachmany, V. Gold, E. Michael, *Cell Death Differ.* 2004, 11, 361–363.
- [9] J. A. Bauer, Med. Hypotheses 1998, 51, 65-67.
- [10] R. A. Firth, H. A. O. Hill, J. M. Pratt, R. G. Thorp, R. J. P. Williams, J. Chem. Soc. A 1969, 381–386.
- [11] M. Wolak, G. Stochel, M. Hamza, R. van Eldik, *Inorg. Chem.* 2000, 39, 2018–2019.
- [12] D. Zheng, R. L. Birke, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4637-4638.
- [13] L. Randaccio, S. Geremia, G. Nardin, J. Wuerges, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2006, 250, 1332–1350.

- [14] C. Selcuki, R. van Eldik, T. Clark, *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 43, 2828– 2833.
- [15] D. Zheng, L. Yan, R. L. Birke, *Inorg. Chem.* 2002, 41, 2548– 2555.
- [16] M. Wolak, A. Zahl, T. Schneppensieper, G. Stochel, R. van Eldik, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9780–9791.
- [17] M. Wolak, G. Stochel, R. van Eldik, *Inorg. Chem.* 2006, 45, 1367–1379.
- [18] Crystal data for NOCbl. Empirical formula: $C_{62}H_{89}N_{14}O_{15}PCo;$ $M_r = 1359 \text{ gmol}^{-1};$ orthorhombic; space group $P2_12_12_1; a = 15.930, b = 21.390, c = 24.066 Å; V = 8200.3(1) Å^3; Z = 4;$ absorption coefficient = 0.29 mm⁻¹; F(000) = 3912; limiting indices: $-18 \le h \le 18, -26 \le k \le 26, -29 \le l \le 29$; reflections collected/unique: 39700/17159; R_{merge} and R_{sym} : 0.035, 0.041; refinement method: full-matrix least squares on F^2 ; data/ restraints/parameters: 15872/0/1036; GOF on F^2 : 0.975; R factors ($I > 4\sigma_1$): R1 = 0.0964, wR2 = 0.2786; R factor (all data): R1 = 0.1061; largest difference peak and hole: +0.53 and -0.46 e Å^{-3}. CCDC-642210 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [19] J. Bultitude, L. F. Larkworthy, J. Mason, D. C. Povey, B. Sandell, *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 3629–3633.
- [20] N. Wiberg, A. F. Holleman, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 34th ed., Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001, p. 1582.
- [21] W. R. Scheidt, J. L. Hoard, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 8281– 8288.
- [22] G. B. Richter-Addo, S. J. Hodge, G. B. Yi, M. A. Khan, T. Ma, E. Van Caemelbecke, N. Guo, K. M. Kadish, *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 6530–6538.
- [23] M. K. Ellison, W. R. Scheidt, Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 382-383.
- [24] K. J. Franz, L. H. Doerrer, B. Spingler, S. J. Lippard, *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, 40, 3774–3780.
- [25] G. Garau, S. Geremia, L. G. Marzilli, G. Nardin, L. Randaccio, G. Tauzher, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B 2003, 59, 51–59.
- [26] B. P. Perry, M. A. Fernandes, K. L. Brown, X. Zou, E. J. Valente, H. M. Marques, *Eur. J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2003**, 2095–2107.
- [27] J. Goodwin, T. Kurtikyan, J. Standard, R. Walsh, B. Zheng, D. Parmley, J. Howard, S. Green, A. Mardyukov, D. E. Przybyla, *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 44, 2215–2223.
- [28] F. Champloy, K. Gruber, G. Jogl, C. Kratky, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 2000, 7, 267–273.
- [29] E. Suarez-Moreira, L. Hannibal, C. A. Smith, R. A. Chavez, D. W. Jacobsen, N. E. Brasch, *Dalton Trans.* 2006, 5269–5277.